

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/027,610	12/19/2001	Chenghui Wang	01P04874US01	3010
75	90 07/19/2005		EXAM	INER
Siemens Corporation			YANG, LINA	
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
186 Wood Aver	nue South		2665	
Iselin, NJ 08830			DATE MAILED: 07/19/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/027,610	WANG, CHENGHUI	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lina Yang	2665	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	arch 2001.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	•	
Application Papers	•	•	•
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 19 December 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/19/2001,6/15/2005 S. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "SSGN" on page 28 line 6 should be changed to "SGSN". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
- a. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the normal GPRS attach process" in line 2.

 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- b. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites: "processing a detection point attach when the normal GPRS attach process is successful but is not completed". It's not clear how can the "attach process" be "successful" but not "completed".

Application/Control Number: 10/027,610

Art Unit: 2665

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351 (a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 5 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Palat et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 20010034231 A1).

Regarding claim 1, 5 and 11, Palat teaches a telecommunications system, comprising: a Serving GPRS support node (SGSN) adapted to interface to a mobile station (fig. 2, element 30 VC-SGSN and element 10A); and a gateway GPRS support node (GGSN) adapted to couple to a packet network (fig. 2, element 20A GGSN, element 6A Internet); wherein said SSGN includes a Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) user agent (fig. 2, element VSB 32) for interfacing to a SIP application server (CSCF coupled in VSB 32), to provide multimedia services to said mobile station.

Art Unit: 2665

4. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Verkama (U.S. Patent Application No. 20010050908 A1).

Regarding claim 17, Verkama teaches a method in a GPRS network, comprising: requesting a DP attach from a mobile station to an SGSN (fig. 2A, step 2-2; [0029]); requesting a PDP context activation from said SGSN to said mobile station (fig. 2A, step 2-4; [0029]); performing a PDP context activation in response to said requesting (fig. 2A, step 2-4; [0029]); and pushing content to said mobile station ([0018]-[0023]).

Regarding claim 18, Verkama further teaches that the said content comprising one or more Web pages ([0023]).

Regarding claim 19, Verkama further teaches that implementing push pre-paid recharging service ([0015]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/027,610

Art Unit: 2665

5. Claims 2-3, 6-10 and 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Palat et al. (U.S. Patent Application No. 20010034231 A1) in view of Verkama (U.S. Patent Application No. 20010050908 A1).

Regarding claim 2, in additional to the above 102 rejection for claim 1, Palat does not specifically teach that SGSN adapted to initiate a PDP context activation procedure if said SGSN determines, or another network function/entity instructs the SGSN, that such a PDP context activation is needed to support further services. However, Verkama teaches that SGSN adapted to initiate a PDP context activation procedure if said SGSN determines, or another network function/entity instructs the SGSN, that such a PDP context activation is needed to support further services (fig. 2, step 2-4, [0028]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to include that SGSN adapted to initiate a PDP context activation procedure if said SGSN determines, or another network function/entity instructs the SGSN, that such a PDP context activation is needed to support further services as taught by Verkama in the assembly of Palat in order to deliver/push the services to the client.

Regarding claim 3, in additional to the above 103 rejection for claim 2, Verkama further teaches that PDP activation procedure adapted to be implemented at DP attach or other detection points (fig. 2A; [0029]).

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 6 and 12, in additional to the above 102 rejection for claims 5 and 11, Palat does not specifically teach that the SGSN and SIP application server adapted to implement an operator owned PDP context activation. However, Verkama teaches that the SGSN and SIP application server adapted to implement an operator owned PDP context activation (fig. 2A, step 2-4; [0001] and [0029]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to include the SGSN and SIP application server adapted to implement an operator owned PDP context activation as taught by Verkama in the assembly of Palat in order to let the operator deliver the services to the client.

Regarding claims 7 and 13, in additional to the above 103 rejection for claims 6 and 12, Verkama further teaches that the operator owned PDP activation procedure adapted to be implemented at DP attach or other detection points (fig. 2A, [0029]).

Regarding claims 8 and 14, in additional to the above 103 rejection for claims 7 and 13, Verkama further teaches that the SGSN and SIP application server adapted to implement push services (fig. 2; [0001]).

Regarding claims 9 and 15, in additional to the above 103 rejection for claims 7 and 13, Palat further teach that the SGSN and SIP application server adapted to implement presence status ([0028]).

Application/Control Number: 10/027,610

Art Unit: 2665

Regarding claims 10 and 16, in additional to the above 103 rejection for claims 7 and 13, Verkama further teaches that the SGSN and SIP application server adapted to implement push pre-paid recharging service (fig. 2; [0015]).

Page 7

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lina Yang whose telephone number is (571)272-3151. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on (571)272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information 9PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LY

HUY D. VU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600